Electron spins in nonmagnetic semiconductors



Yuichiro K. Kato

Institute of Engineering Innovation, The University of Tokyo



Optical spin injection and detection

Spin manipulation in nonmagnetic semiconductors

In non-magnetic semiconductors such as GaAs and Si, spin interactions are weak; To first order approximation, they behave as non-interacting, independent spins.

- Zeeman Hamiltonian
- Bloch sphere
- Larmor precession
- $T_1, T_2, \text{ and } T_2^*$
- Bloch equation



Hamiltonian for an electron spin in a magnetic field

$$H = -\vec{M} \cdot \vec{B} = g\mu_{\rm B} \vec{s} \cdot \vec{B}$$

 \vec{M} : magnetic moment \vec{B} : magnetic field



magnetic moment of an electron spin

$$\vec{M} = -g\mu_{\rm B}\vec{s}$$

g: Landé g-factor (=2 for free electrons)

- $\mu_{\rm B} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m}$: Bohr magneton = 58 μ eV/T
 - e: electronic chargeħ: Planck constantm: free electron mass

 $\vec{s} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$: spin operator $\vec{\sigma}$: Pauli operator Hamiltonian for an electron spin in a magnetic field

$$H = -\vec{M} \cdot \vec{B} = g\mu_{\rm B} \vec{s} \cdot \vec{B}$$

 \vec{M} : magnetic moment \vec{B} : magnetic field



magnetic moment of an electron spin

$$\vec{M} = -g\mu_{\rm B}\vec{s}$$

g: Landé g-factor (=2 for free electrons)

- $\mu_{\rm B} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m}$: Bohr magneton = 58 μ eV/T
 - e: electronic charge
 - ħ: Planck constantm: free electron mass

 $\vec{s} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$: spin operator $\vec{\sigma}$: Pauli operator

The energy eigenstates

Without loss of generality, we can set the *z*-axis to be the direction of \vec{B} , i.e., $\vec{B} = (0,0,B)$

$$H = g\mu_{\rm B}\vec{s}\cdot\vec{B} = g\mu_{\rm B}Bs_{\rm z}$$



Quantum states are represented by a normalized vector in a Hilbert space



Spin states are represented by 2D vectors

$$|\uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad |\downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Spin operators are represented by 2x2 matrices. For example, the Pauli operators are

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

ex.
$$s_z |\uparrow\rangle = +\frac{1}{2}|\uparrow\rangle$$
 is equivalent to

$$s_{z}|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{\sigma_{z}}{2}|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = +\frac{1}{2}|\uparrow\rangle$$

Expectation values of the Pauli vector

7

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Expectation values for the spin up state

$$\langle \uparrow | \sigma_{\chi} | \uparrow \rangle = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\langle \uparrow | \sigma_{\chi} | \uparrow \rangle = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\langle \uparrow | \sigma_{Z} | \uparrow \rangle = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

 $\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle = (0,0,1)$ \implies spin is pointing in the +z direction

One more example: Expectation values for a coherent superposition

$$\begin{split} |\varphi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle\varphi|\sigma_{\chi}|\varphi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \\ \langle\varphi|\sigma_{\chi}|\varphi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\\i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i\\i \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ \langle\varphi|\sigma_{Z}|\varphi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \end{split}$$

 $\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle = (1,0,0)$ \implies spin is pointing in the +x direction \implies

spin can point in any direction, but when measured along a particular axis, it can only be "up" or "down"

In general, $|\varphi\rangle = \lambda |\uparrow\rangle + \mu |\downarrow\rangle$ where λ and μ are complex numbers.

Any spin state (and any qubit) can be represented by a point on a sphere $\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$



How does a spin state that is perpendicular to magnetic field evolve with time?

- Initial state: $|\varphi(t=0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)$
 - 1. Obtain the time-evolution operator $U(t) = \exp\left(\frac{Ht}{i\hbar}\right)$
 - 2. Compute the state at time t $|\varphi(t)\rangle = U(t)|\varphi(0)\rangle$
 - 3. Calculate the expectation value of $\vec{\sigma}$ $\langle \varphi(t) | \vec{\sigma} | \varphi(t) \rangle$



1. Time-evolution operator is given by

$$U(t) = \exp\left(\frac{Ht}{i\hbar}\right)$$
 where $H = g\mu_{\rm B}Bs_z = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}B}{2}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}$

SO

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(-i\frac{g\mu_{\rm B}Bt}{2\hbar}\right) & 0\\ 0 & \exp\left(+i\frac{g\mu_{\rm B}Bt}{2\hbar}\right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(-i\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) & 0\\ 0 & \exp\left(+i\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Omega = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}B}{\hbar}$ is the Larmor frequency $\left(\frac{\mu_{\rm B}}{2\pi\hbar} = 14 \text{ GHz/T}\right)$

2. The state at time t is obtained by applying U(t) on the initial state

$$\begin{split} |\varphi(0)\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \\ |\varphi(t)\rangle &= U(t)|\varphi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-i\Omega t/2) & 0\\0 & \exp(+i\Omega t/2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-i\Omega t/2)\\\exp(+i\Omega t/2) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Larmor precession



$$\langle \varphi(t) | \sigma_z | \varphi(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{+\frac{i\Omega t}{2}} & e^{-\frac{i\Omega t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i\Omega t}{2}} \\ e^{+\frac{i\Omega t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

More intuitive semi-classical picture can be obtained

$$H = g\mu_{\mathrm{B}}\vec{s}\cdot\vec{B} = g\mu_{\mathrm{B}}(B_{x}s_{x} + B_{y}s_{y} + B_{z}s_{z})$$

$$\frac{ds_{\chi}}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [s_{\chi}, H] = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}}{i\hbar} \{ [s_{\chi}, s_{\gamma}] B_{\gamma} + [s_{\chi}, s_{z}] B_{z} \}$$

using commutation relations: $[s_x, s_y] = is_z, [s_y, s_z] = is_x, [s_z, s_x] = is_y$

 $\frac{ds_{\chi}}{dt} = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}}{\hbar} \{s_{\chi}B_{y} - s_{y}B_{z}\}$ combined into one vector equation $\frac{ds_{y}}{dt} = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}}{\hbar} \{s_{\chi}B_{z} - s_{z}B_{x}\}$ $\frac{ds_{z}}{dt} = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}}{\hbar} \{s_{y}B_{x} - s_{\chi}B_{y}\}$ where $\vec{\Omega} = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}}{\hbar}\vec{B}$

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{dt} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{s}$$

where
$$\vec{\Omega} = \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}}{\hbar}\vec{B}$$



Spin relaxation and the Bloch equation

In a real world, interaction with the environment will eventually randomize spin

1. Longitudinal spin relaxation
$$(T_1)$$

Requires energy relaxation
2. Transverse spin relaxation (T_2)
Phase relaxation is enough
 T_1
 T_1
 T_1
 T_2
 T_2
 T_2
 T_2
 T_3

_

For an ensemble, Inhomogeneous dephasing (T_2^*)

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{dt} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{s} - \frac{\left(\vec{s} \cdot \widehat{\Omega}\right)\widehat{\Omega}}{T_1} - \frac{\vec{s} - \left(\vec{s} \cdot \widehat{\Omega}\right)\widehat{\Omega}}{T_2^*} \qquad \widehat{\Omega} = \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{\left|\vec{\Omega}\right|}$$

Bloch equation

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} = \vec{M} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\left(\vec{S} \cdot \hat{B}\right)\hat{B}}{T_1} - \frac{\vec{S} - \left(\vec{S} \cdot \hat{B}\right)\hat{B}}{T_2^*} \qquad \hat{B} = \frac{\vec{B}}{\left|\vec{B}\right|}$$

For spins initially pointing along x in a magnetic field along z, the solution for spin component along x is given by





Summary: physics of non-interacting spins



In direct gap nonmagnetic semiconductors, Larmor precession can be directly observed in the time domain

- Optical selection rules
- Time-resolved

Kerr/Faraday rotation

Hanle effect

Time-resolved Kerr rotation data



Electron spins are optically injected at t=0

Optical selection rules



valence band

Zinc-blende: 50% polarization

Wurtzite and quantum wells: 100% polarization

Time-resolved Faraday (Kerr) rotation





1. Circularly polarized pump creates spin population

- 2. Linearly polarized probe measures S_x
- 3. Δt is scanned and spin precession is mapped out





$$\theta_F = A e^{-\Delta t / T_2^*} \cos(\Omega \Delta t)$$
$$\Omega = g \mu_B B / \hbar$$

S. Crooker et al., *PRB* 56, 7574 (1997)

TRFR in bulk semiconductors

GaAs PRL 80, 4313 (1998)





TRFR in quantum wells and quantum dots



Hanle effect

In DC measurements, magnetic field dependence of the spin polarization becomes Lorentzian.



Fig. 14. The Hanle effect in an n-Ga_{0.8}Al_{0.2}As crystal at 4.2 K for the A-band presented in fig. 10 (Vekua et al. 1976). Curves 1 and 2 were calculated according to eqs. (63) and (64) respectively, curve 3 is the Lorentz contour with halfwidth $B_{1/2} = 6$ G equal to the halfwidth of the experimental Hanle curve.

"Optical Orientation" (Elsevier, 1984)

Science 306, 1910 (2004)

Spin accumulation at the edges are imaged by modulating the externally applied magnetic field and measuring the signal at the second harmonic frequency





There are many interactions in nonmagnetic semiconductors that allow spin manipulation

- g-factor engineering
- spin-orbit interaction
- hyperfine interaction
- AC Stark effect

g-factor engineering

 $H = g\mu_B \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}$

g: material dependent effective g-factor **B**: magnetic field

g= -0.44 in GaAs g= -14.8 in InAs g= 1.94 in GaN

Change g in a static, global B!

move electrons into different materials using electric fields

Control the g-factor through material composition in semiconductor heterostructures

g-factor in Al_xGa_{1-x}As



Weisbuch et al., PRB 15, 816 (1977)

Quasi-static electrical tuning of g-factor

Nature 414, 619 (2001)



g-factor is electrically tuned

29

Time dependent voltage





$H = \hbar/(4m^2c^2) (\nabla V(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{p}) \cdot \sigma$

Allows zero-magnetic field spin manipulation by electric field through control of k



Rashba and Dresselhaus effects

time-reversal symmetry (i.e., at zero magnetic field)

$$E(k,\uparrow) = E(-k,\downarrow)$$
 Kramers

inversion symmetry

$$E(k,\uparrow) = E(-k,\uparrow)$$

Zero-magnetic-field spin splitting requires asymmetry

Rashba effect: structural inversion asymmetry (SIA) of quantum wells Sov. Phys. Solid State 2, 1109 (1960)

$$\vec{\Omega}(\vec{k}) = \alpha(k_y, -k_x)$$

Dresselhaus effect: bulk inversion asymmetry (BIA) of zinc-blende crystal Phys. Rev. 100, 580 (1955)

$$\vec{\Omega}(\vec{k}) = 2\gamma \{k_x (k_y^2 - k_z^2), k_y (k_z^2 - k_x^2), k_z (k_x^2 - k_y^2)\}$$

In a (001) quantum well

$$\vec{\Omega}(\vec{k}) = 2\gamma \langle k_z^2 \rangle (k_x, -k_y)$$

Strain-induced terms

$$\overrightarrow{\Omega_{3}}(\vec{k}) \propto \{ (\varepsilon_{yy} - \varepsilon_{zz}) k_{x}, (\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{xx}) k_{y}, (\varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy}) k_{z} \}$$

$$\overrightarrow{\Omega_{4}}(\vec{k}) \propto (\varepsilon_{zx} k_{x} - \varepsilon_{xy} k_{y}, \varepsilon_{xy} k_{y} - \varepsilon_{yz} k_{z}, \varepsilon_{yz} k_{z} - \varepsilon_{zx} k_{x})$$







Coherent spin population excited with electrical pulses ³⁵



semi-insulating GaAs substrate



Precession at electron Larmor frequency of InGaAs

Faraday rotation signal due to electrons

The signal shows sign change with magnetic field

 spins excited in the plane of the sample (along the effective magnetic field)

Electron spins are generated using picosecond electrical pulses

Hyperfine interaction



Nuclear spin polarization acts as an effective magnetic field for electron spins

Dynamic nuclear polarization: spin injection causes nuclear spin polarization Lampel, PRL 20, 491 (1968)



Optical pulse below bandgap shifts the band gap (AC Stark effect) Circularly polarized pulse shifts one of the spin subbands, causing spin splitting



Science 292, 2458 (2001)

Topics covered in this talk

- Zeeman Hamiltonian
- Bloch sphere
- Larmor precession
- $T_1, T_2, \text{ and } T_2^*$
- Bloch equation

- Optical selection rules
- Time-resolved
 - Kerr/Faraday rotation
- Hanle effect
- g-factor engineering
- spin-orbit interaction
- hyperfine interaction
- AC Stark effect